IN	THE	E UNITED	STATES	DISTR	ICT	COURT	
FOR	тнг	NORTHERN	I DISTR	ICT OF	CAT	TFORNT	Δ

Nos. C 13-1513 CW C 13-1888 CW

In re:
Robert Franklin Van Zandt,

Debtor.

Bk. Nos. 12-32655-HLB 12-03183-HLB 12-03184-HLB

ORDER DISMISSING APPEALS (Case Nos. 13-1513 and 13-1888)

Debtor Robert Franklin Van Zandt has filed four separate appeals challenging orders of the Bankruptcy Court. Two of the cases Mr. Van Zandt has filed are appeals from orders denying motions to dismiss two separate adversary cases in the Bankruptcy Court. See BK No. 12-03183, Docket No. 37, BK No. 12-03184, Docket No. 29. "Because an order denying a motion to dismiss is an interlocutory order, there is no direct right of appeal."

Betta Prods., Inc. v. Distributions Sys. & Servs., Inc. (In real Betta Prods.), 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 81621, *3 (N.D. Cal.).

District courts have the discretion to grant leave to appeal interlocutory bankruptcy court orders and may consider a notice of appeal as a motion for leave to appeal. 28 U.S.C. § 158(3); Fed. R. Bankr. P. 8003(c). In considering whether leave should be granted, the Court will look to 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b). In re Betta Prods., 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 81621 at *3; In re Sperna, 173 B.R.

654, 658 (9th Cir. BAP 1994). Pursuant to that section, review of an interlocutory order is appropriate when

such order involves a controlling question of law as to which there is substantial ground for difference of opinion and that an immediate appeal from the order may materially advance the ultimate termination of the litigation.

28 U.S.C. § 1292(b).

The Court finds that the Bankruptcy Court's orders denying Mr. Van Zandt's motions to dismiss these adversary proceedings fail to meet this standard. The Court construes Mr. Van Zandt's Notices of Appeal in Docket Nos. 13-1513 and 13-1888 to be motions for leave to appeal an interlocutory order and denies both motions. Accordingly, the Court DISMISSES Docket Nos. 13-1513 and 13-1888.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 5/1/2013

United States District Judge